

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/887,539 06/21/2001 Balazs Kralik M-10954 US 5813 33031 7590 12/09/2005 EXAMINER CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP RUDY, ANDREW J 4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLDG. 4, SUITE 201 AUSTIN, TX 78759

3627
DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/887,539	KRALIK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
		·		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-5 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 6-15. Applicant's
 September 29, 2005 Amendment and REMARKS have been reviewed.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings submitted September 29, 2005 are acceptable.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The 35 USC 103 rejection is withdrawn as a result of the September 29, 2005 REMARKS.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's September 29, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing.

Regarding claim 1, lines 5-8, do not have support from the specification. Applicant REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant's disclosure, e.g. pages 4 and 5, does not disclose these limitations. It is noted Applicant's page 2, lines 22-28, does support the claim 1, lines 5-8, recitations.

However, Applicant's disclosure, i.e. page 5, lines 15-17, contradicts these recitations.

Thus, the Examiner does not see clear support for the claim 1, lines 5-8, claim language.

Also, claim 3, lines 4-6, the phrase "wherein . . . the components" does not have support from the specification, e.g. page 2, lines 22 to page 3, line 10. Applicant REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant is invited to specifically point out where support for the offending language may be found.

It is noted that claim 1, lines 4 and 12-15, are admitted prior art. Applicant's own admission via the present application, i.e. page 6, lines 1-10, discloses such.

Likewise, claim 5, line 3, per Applicant's disclosure, i.e. page 6, lines 1-10, is deemed admitted prior art.

5. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892. It is noted that several of these meet Applicant claim language, as understood. If Applicant is able to overcome the above 35 USC 112 rejection, Applicant will have to address these references.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/887,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627